

Survey Results MRC 2008

Jörg Cassens

November 18, 2008

This overview presents the results of an online survey to which we have invited all 18 participants who attended the MRC 2008 workshop. The survey was open from the 3rd of October to the 27th of October, 2008. 10 persons completed the survey in this timeframe. The original review asked the participants to rate the papers presented for suitability for inclusion of extended versions in a special journal issue as well as to give feedback on the workshop itself. We have excluded the paper rating in this version of the results since they were only intended to guide the editors of the special issue. The description of the survey was as follows:

'Dear MRC 2008 Participant

Thanks for taking you time to give us some feedback on how you rate the papers presented at MRC 2008. Please tell us for all papers whether you would like to see extended versions being published in a special journal issue on modeling and reasoning [of/in] context. Please do not rate the presentation only, but your general impression after reading the papers.

Additionally, we would like to get some feedback on this year's MRC and get some input on how to proceed further.'

2) MRC Feedback


Question 2-1

How would you rate MRC 2008?

Question 2-1-1

The presentations were generally of good quality.


Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	0	0.00%
Yes (Y)	7	70.00%
No (N)	0	0.00%
Uncertain (U)	3	30.00%



Question 2-1-2

The discussions were interesting.


Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	0	0.00%
Yes (Y)	9	90.00%
No (N)	0	0.00%
Uncertain (U)	1	10.00%



Question 2-1-3

I felt motivated to participate in the discussions.


Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	0	0.00%
Yes (Y)	7	70.00%
No (N)	0	0.00%
Uncertain (U)	3	30.00%



Question 2-1-4

The atmosphere was friendly.


Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	0	0.00%
Yes (Y)	10	100.00%
No (N)	0	0.00%
Uncertain (U)	0	0.00%



Question 2-1-5

I enjoyed the workshop dinner.

Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	0	0.00%
Yes (Y)	9	90.00%
No (N)	0	0.00%
Uncertain (U)	1	10.00%




Question 2-2

Are you motivated to submit a paper for MRC 2009?

Question 2-2-1

I consider submitting another paper for MRC 2009.


Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	0	0.00%
Yes (Y)	6	60.00%
No (N)	1	10.00%
Uncertain (U)	3	30.00%



Question 2-2-2

I will advertise to colleagues to submit papers for MRC 2008.

Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	1	10.00%
Yes (Y)	7	70.00%
No (N)	1	10.00%
Uncertain (U)	1	10.00%




Question 2-3

What kind of conferences would you feel are suited for organizing the next MRC?

Question 2-3-1

Large AI conferences, like IJCAI <http://ijcai-09.org/>.


Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	2	20.00%
Definitively not suited (-2)	0	0.00%
Lean to not suited (-1)	7	70.00%
Lean to suited (3)	0	0.00%
Definitively suited (2)	1	10.00%



Question 2-3-2

Conferences focusing on socio-technical issues, like HCP.


Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	2	20.00%
Definitively not suited (-2)	0	0.00%
Lean to not suited (-1)	3	30.00%
Lean to suited (3)	4	40.00%
Definitively suited (2)	1	10.00%



Question 2-3-3

Pervasive computing conferences, like Pervasive <http://pervasive2009.org/>.


Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	3	30.00%
Definitively not suited (-2)	0	0.00%
Lean to not suited (-1)	0	0.00%
Lean to suited (3)	5	50.00%
Definitively suited (2)	2	20.00%



Question 2-3-4

Context conferences, like CONTEXT <http://mainesail.umcs.maine.edu/Context/>.


Answer	Count	Percentage
No answer	1	10.00%
Definitively not suited (-2)	1	10.00%
Lean to not suited (-1)	0	0.00%
Lean to suited (3)	0	0.00%
Definitively suited (2)	8	80.00%



Question 2-4

What other feedback do you want to give to the organizers?

Answer	Count	Percentage
Answer	4	40.00%
No answer	6	60.00%



Comments

Comment 1: a preliminary announcement saying that the best papers will be published in a referred journal would increase the audience I think.

Comment 2: a better publisher needed for the proceedings, and posts to seworld and other mailing list to attract more papers.

Comment 3: good job. thanks.

Comment 4: Thank you for the nice organization :)